IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

WEDNESDAY, THE 26TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 4TH ASWINA 1929

WP(C).No. 623 of 2007(Y)

PETITIONER:

K.BHASKARAN, S/O. K.KRISHNAN,
KILIVAYIL HOUSE, IRINGALLOOR,
VENGARA.

BY ADV. SRI.K.V.GOPINATHAN NAIR

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. **THE REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,**
   VADAKARA, REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY.

2. **THE SECRETARY,**
   REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY, VADAKARA.

3. **THE MANAGING DIRECTOR,**
   K.S.R.T.C., TRANSPORT BHAVAN,
   THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

4. **THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,**
   TRANSPORT (B) DEPARTMENT, GOVT. OF KERALA,
   THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.K.PRABHAKARAN, SC, K.S.R.T.C.
BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. C.K.GOVINDAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 26/09/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

KSS

WPC.NO.623/2007 Y

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXT.P1: **COPY OF THE REGULAR PERMIT INCLUDING ENDORSEMENT REGARDING RENEWAL VALID UPTO 14/01/2006.**

EXT.P2; **COPY OF THE TEMPORARY PERMIT ISSUED TO THE PETITIONER VALID FROM 25/04/2006 TO 14/05/2006.**

EXT.P3: **COPY OF THE TEMPORARY PERMIT ISSUED TO THE PETITIONER VALID FROM 15/05/2006 TO 3/06/2006.**

EXT.P4: **COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE FIRST RESPONDENT DTD. 15/07/2006.**

EXT.P5: **COPY OF THE APPEAL MEMORANDUM AS MVAA.NO.415/2006 DTD. 16/08/2006.**

EXT.P6: **COPY OF THE JUDGMENT OF THE S.T.A.T., ERNAKULAM IN M.V.A.A.NO.415/2006 DTD. 19/10/2006.**

EXT.P7: **COPY OF THE RELEVANT PAGE OF NOTIFICATION ISSUED BY THE GOVERNMENT DTD. 9/05/2006.**

EXT.P8: **COPY OF THE NOTIFICATION ISSUED BY THE GOVERNMENT DTD. 9/05/2007.**

EXT.P9: **COPY OF THE TEMPORARY PERMIT APPLICATION SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER ON THE ROUTE THALASSERY-GURUVAYUR DTD. 28/06/2007.**

/TRUE COPY/

P.S.TO JUDGE

KSS

ANTONY DOMINIC, J.

= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
W.P.(C) No. 623 OF 2007 Y
= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =

Dated this the 26th September, 2007

J U D G M E N T

Based on Ext. P7 notification dated 9-5-2007 the petitioner's application for renewal of permit was rejected by Ext. P4 and this order was confirmed by the Tribunal in Ext. P6. It is challenging these two orders this writ petition has been filed. During the pendency of this writ petition, Government have issued Ext. P8 dated 9-5-2007 which according to the petitioner permits renewal of the permits like the one he had applied for. In view of this, quashing Exts. P4 and P6 I dispose of this writ petition directing the 1st respondent to consider the petitioner's application for renewal as expeditiously as possible, at any rate within two months of receipt of a copy of this judgment. It is made clear that in the meantime the petitioner will be at liberty to move for temporary permit which shall be considered in accordance with law.

ANTONY DOMINIC
JUDGE

jan/-